


System Modelling: Understanding PI Planning Shortfalls And Finding Alternatives




System Modelling: Understanding PI Planning Shortfalls And Finding Alternatives

Top 5 "Chief" Complaints about PI Planning



Zoom out

System Modelling: Understanding PI Planning Shortfalls And Finding Alternatives



System Modelling: Understanding PI Planning Shortfalls And Finding Alternatives

Let's not bash PI !!!

**It is an unfortunate necessity
that is caused by inability /
unwillingness to address
systemic (organizational) issues.**

**If we fix system issues, PI will
become naturally obsolete.**



Potential Improvements:

- Step away from: "org design is unteachable"
- Revisit product definition (most likely, widen it)
- Build org around product, not the other way around
- Discontinue PO-per-team / team backlog nonsense
- Broaden, shared by multiple teams, DoD (and DoR)

**"GIVE ME A PLACE TO STAND,
AND I WILL MOVE THE EARTH."**



ARCHIMEDES



"SAFe Recovery"

WHAT:

"SAFe Recovery" – is a set of steps (or a process), geared towards a gradual recovery from adverse impacts of SAFe implementation. While SAFe has been the most well-known, effectively promoted and commercially successful framework, for more than a decade, with its deep market penetration backed by large consultancies and golden partnerships with tooling companies, not too many [client]-companies have achieved any real, deeply systemic improvements, by implementing SAFe. On contrary, many companies have faced some significant financial and reputational risk, after spending years and millions of dollars on SAFe implementations, while forecasting to their sponsors and stakeholders a lot of success.

And more at: <https://www.knsystemsaccess.com/safe-recovery/>