Product **Definition & Exploration** Workshop in LeSS KEEE

	Notes:
 Discuss what each 'onion' layer below means in the context of your organization Modify the 'onion', based on organizational specifics (add/remove layers) In respective areas on the right side, provide some examples and details for each layer Discuss and agree where to keep information (e.g. tool, directory, other repository) 	
 Discuss and agree how 'onion' layers will be maintained and updated over time 	
Company Purpose & Mission	
Company Long-Team Vision	
Company Strategy Product Vision & Strategy Product Backlog	
Product Increment	
Note: Since customers buy and users use Products, in agile development, we focus on ProDUCTs, not ProJECTs, not ProGRAMs. We also challenge the term Portfolio, unless it is a portfolio or real Products. Please, be mindful of this, as you add layers to the 'onion'.	

- Understand a 'big picture' (Strategy, Mission, Vision, OKRs).
- Select & discuss a product.
- Define & explore a product with <u>Product Canvas, applying *expanding & constraining* forces</u>.
- Run exercises (e.g. Item mapping, value stream mapping, customer journey, etc, personas.)
- Create an *initial* Product Backlog.
- Create Definition of Done (DoD). Agree on what is "UNDONE".
- Identify functional expertize & technical skillset required (each Team; LeSS Product Group)
- Prototype a team 'blueprint' (*Do NOT create teams, on behalf of teams*)
- Prototype a product group 'blueprint' (beyond Teams; entire LeSS Product Group)
- Identify (all known) organizational design impediments and assign them to executives
- Run team self-design exercise
- Start sprinting

To help yourself with PRODUCT BACKLOG creation, run various exercises and discovery activities:



Note: Remember that the goal of all supplementing exercises and activities is to produce a single Product Backlog, to be prioritized by Product Owner and shared by all teams that will be working on a product.

Persona Identification Template

Attribute	Description	
Picture		
Name		
Age/Gender		
Personality		
Interests		
Title/Organizational Position		
Career Path/Journey		
Technical Skills		
Business Expertize		
Personal Goals		
What is today's solution?		
Reasons to buy/use a product		
Comments		
CC () () BY SA	www.keystepstosuccess.com	K <u>STS</u>

Use Case Template

Attribute	Description
Name	
ID	
Description	
Actors	
Assumptions	
Benefits	
Pre-Conditions	
Post-Conditions	
Main Path (Steps)	1. step 2. step 3. step 4. step 5. step
Alternative Path (Steps)	1. step 2. step 3. step 4. step
Exceptions	
Comments	
Related Use Cases	e.g. < <extend>>, <<include>></include></extend>
	www.keystepstosuccess.com

Product Definition & Exploration Workshop (Balancing between "Ideal" and "Practical" Product Definition)

Use expanding and narrowing questions, to help deriving product definition that is both: as ideal, and as practical, as possible:

Expanding Questions (towards "Ideal" product definition)	Narrowing Questions (towards "Practical" product definition)
 Who are the actual end-customers (or internal users)? Were they involved in product definition process from the very beginning? Given the current product definition, what business problem does it solve? Do you have free access to people who can identify Mission, Vision and Strategic Objective for the product, as it is currently defined? Are funders/sponsors directly involved in strategic discussions? As it is currently defined, can your product be easily marketed/promoted/sold to customers or offered to users? Does the current product definition give you a competitive edge in a marketplace? Can you acquire more market share with your current product? With the current product definition can you find business people that can comfortably set priorities and provide clarifications (product owners, users)? Can you effectively set priorities on product features, using various techniques, such as Business Prioritization matrix, Product Canvas? Can you define a customer journey from the standpoint of a real customer or user? Does your product definition freely cut across traditional WBS layers (products, programs, projects, initiatives, etc., without <i>political</i> obstacles? Does product definition include multiple system components, sub-components, applications, platforms, etc.? Can you align the current product definition? Can you 'follow the money', from a market place to GEMBA? 	 Overall, what part of the product definition is within your company's control? How cooperative are third parties, that are involved in product-centric development? Internally, what part of the product definition (platforms, infrastructure, architecture, people) is controlled by <i>product definition-expansion effort, e.g.</i> led by organizational design consultants and coaches? How intensely will key business users be required to get involved with product clarifications? How dramatically/radically will your organization have to change (flatten/de-scale) to support the proposed product definition? How many traditional roles will get challenged (component leads, first-line managers), when you move from portfolios/programs/projects to products? How strong will be individual resistance to change? To what extent a newly proposed, broader defined product definition, challenge prior "quasi"-product definition efforts, spear-headed by your predecessors? How many system components, sub-components, applications, platforms can a <i>single developer</i> learn and be able to work on, effectively? How widely can each team span its biz domain knowledge to work effectively? How widely can each Product Owner span her knowledge of business domain to prioritize effectively? To what extent will customers and users be able to embrace product definition, given it's widened breadth?





Product Definition Canvas Template

Product Name: Date:	Mission – Wh	/ision - What is your product's purpose? How do you envision your product will change human lives and experience? Mission – What is your mission? What are you trying to do, specifically, to achieve your goals? Strategic Objectives –What are your OKRs: what are your objectives and what results do you expect to see, over time?								
Contributor (s): Both teams							Team 1			
Funding/Budgeting/Sponsorship - W funding come from? Who will pay for y		Costs/Expenses/In expenses or other to encounter?		- What costs, erhead do you expect Team 2	going to u	rketing/Promotion - What strate use to increase your sales and rev g strategy? What promotion char	enue flow? What is your			
Measurements/Metrics - How will yo	u measure you		Customers	/Users/Target Groups	- Who is th	is product for? Who uses it toda	y and who will use it in a future? Team 2			
Partnerships/Benefits - Who are your bring to them? What cost reduction, ti market, increased satisfaction should t Business: Key Benefits:	me/financial sa	avings, improved time		Users – humans and s that interact with you product UI/UX/Interfaces – sy applications, devices, (upstream and downs	r Team 1 /stems,	Business Layers – e.g. parameters, necessary to create a business object Service Layers – discrete operations that must be supported (API, orchestration,	Regulatory/Legal/Compliance – requirements, controls, policies, rules			
Technology: Key Benefits:				× 1	Team 1	entity, utility) Team 2	Team 2			
Ney Benefits: Key Benefits:			Team 1	Data -bases/sources data warehouse, data etc.	,	Components/Applications – "elements" that are included in your product, when seen from a user/customer	Quality Controls – measurements, validations, testable properties & attributes			
Competitors - Who are our direct or in more or less successful than if you? W take advantage of?					Team 2	perspective Team 2	Team 2			





Business Prioritization Matrix

Business Prioritization Matrix



Understanding Customer Journey

Dimensions

X-axis dimension – logically sequenced steps/stages/phases a customer goes through, as (s)he makes a journey (travels) through a product (service). All of them, are defined from a standpoint of a customer/user/consumer. steps/stages/phases. They can vary from product-toproduct (service), based on purpose/intent. Start & end of a journey could vary. Commonly used:

- Before \rightarrow During \rightarrow After
- Motivation \rightarrow Search (of sites) \rightarrow Browsing (of site) \rightarrow Evaluation \rightarrow Selection \rightarrow Purchase \rightarrow Product Experience \rightarrow Feedback
- Awareness \rightarrow Research \rightarrow Choice Reduction \rightarrow Making Selection \rightarrow Purchase
- Consideration \rightarrow Discovery \rightarrow Selection \rightarrow Verification \rightarrow Purchase \rightarrow Self-Reflection
- Research \rightarrow Evaluation & Comparison \rightarrow Commitment \rightarrow Use & Monitoring \rightarrow Refining & Review

Y-axis dimension – concurrently aligned *elements* that are required (or expected to be required) at various steps/stages/phases. As a customer makes a journey (travels), (s)he can cross into various elements multiple times Commonly used:

- Experience | Frontstage | Backstage | Systems & Processes
- Doing | Thinking | Touchpoints | Opportunities | Weaknesses | Comments | Ideas | Improvements
- Intentions | Expectations | Ideas | Activities | Perceptions/Feelings | Touchpoints | Risks | Opportunities
- PC Browser | Wireless Browser | Phone application | Customer Service | Retail Branch

Matrix

Coarse-grained matrix

Х

У



X-axis /Y-axis matrix can be coarse-grained or fine-grained, depending on how much information needs to be captured. Matrix can be also nested.

Customer Journey Map Template



Story Mapping Template

				Item	- L1						Item	- L1					Item	- L1		
		ltem	- L2	ltem	i– L2	ltem	1– L2		Item	1- L2	ltem	1– L2	lten	1– L2	Item	i– L2	ltem	i– L2	lten	1– L2
	ISE N-I	ltem– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3		ltem– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3	Item– L3
	kelease	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3		ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3
	Kelease N	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3		ltem– L3	Item-L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	Item– L3	Item- L3	Item– L3	Item– L3
Priority	Kele	ltem- L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3		ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3
	se N+1	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3] [ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	ltem- L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	Item– L3	Item– L3
	Release	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item- L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3		ltem- L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3	ltem– L3	Item– L3
		Note: Try n	ot to exceed	l three <u>level</u> s	<u>s</u> of decompo	osition										<u>.ww</u> .	v.keystepstosi	uccess.com	<u>кs</u>	- <u>S</u>



"Bricks & Snakes"



As Product Owner, I want the following components to be included in Product Definition, so that I see it as a REAL Product that I can successfully prioritize.

Product Components Discovery Template "Bricks & Snakes"

Component Name: Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component D: Component D: Component D: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component D: Component D: Component D: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component D: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component D: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Name: Component Name: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Name: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Name: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Name: Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:Component Daveloper(s)/Location:	Component Name:	Component Name:	Component Name:	Component Name:
	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:
	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:
	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:
	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:
	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":
Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Developer(s)/Location:Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Developer(s)/Location:Skill-set/domain knowledge:Skill-set/domain knowledg	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:
	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:
	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:
	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:
Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component ID: Component Developer(s)/Location:Component Owner: Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:
	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:
	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:
	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:
"Organizational Ownership": "Organizational Ownership": "Organizational Ownership": "Organizational Ownership": "Team 2	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:	Component Owner:
	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:	Component ID:
	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:	Component Developer(s)/Location:
	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:	Skill-set/domain knowledge:
	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":	"Organizational Ownership":

Team 1

Team 2

Technical Skill Set (per Team)

1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
18.			
19.			
20.	 		

Note: What technical skill set (e.g. technology, language) a team needs to have, in order to perform work in a backlog, to meet Definition of Done?

Functional Domain Expertize (per Team)

1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
ч . 5.	
6. 7	
7.	
8.	
9.	 ļ.
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
20.	

Note: What domain expertise (e.g. line of business) each team needs to have, in order to perform work in a backlog, to meet Definition of Done?

Team 1

Team 2

Prototype Product Group 'Blueprint' (beyond Teams)

Prototype Team 'Blueprint'



Note: Team 'blueprint' is a template of what a team may look like, given technical skillset and domain expertise required, in order to perform work in a backlog? **Do not assign individuals to teams**: teams will be created during a self-design workshop.

1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
14.	
15.	

Note: Product Group 'blueprint' is a template of what the whole group may look like, including teams, Product Owner and users/customers, in order to function effectively and independently?

The Big Day: Team Self-Formation Workshop

Run Team Self-Design Exercise



Note: Use this sheet to capture names of team members (each team) during Team Self-Formation Workshop. Managers do NOT create teams on behalf of teams.

Initial Product Backlog Refinement (IPBR)

[Initial] Product Backlog High Priority 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. _____ 9. 10. _____ 11. 12. _____ 13. _____ 14. _____ 15. _____ 16. _____ 17. _____ 18. _____ 19. _____ 20. Low Priority

Note: Initial product backlog should provide enough work ("feed" work) for teams during the first few sprints of LeSS adoption. This takes place during Initial Product Backlog Refinement event. **Participation of an entire product group is required**.



Note: A check list of items that must be done, by the end of each sprint, in order deliver a product increment (PI), as per agreement, by all teams, and with Product Owner. In LeSS, all teams share the same Definition of Done. The goal should be to expand Done, over time.

Note: A check list of items that are explicitly known, as 'undoable', due to technical and/or organizational limitations. The goal should be to reduce 'Undone' over time.

Organizational Impediment Backlog



Note: What organizational impediments (e.g. HR policies, reporting structure, career path, location strategies, budgeting, etc.) may potentially hinder healthy dynamics of a product group in LeSS adoption? Who will self-assign this responsibility (e.g. sr. manager, executive)? How do you plan on measuring progress of impediment resolution?