## **Case Studies**

Case Study 1 Case Study 2 Case Study 3 **Company Name:** Confidential Company Name: Confidential Company Name: Confidential **Industry**: Publishing **Industry**: Financial **Industry**: Financial Company Type: Publishing and Rating Company Company Type: Global Investment Bank **Company Type:** Global Investment Bank Company Size: 20, 000+ employees Company Size: 250,000+ employees Company Size: 250,000+ employees Company Location: Global **Company Location:** Global **Company Location:** Global Number individuals involved: technology 40 people Number individuals involved: technology 55 people Number individuals involved: technology 60 people Overall engagement duration: Overall engagement duration: Overall engagement duration: 12 months originally with multiple short re-engagements (re-8 months originally with multiple short re-engagements (re-13 months originally with multiple short re-engagements assessments) assessments) (re-assessments) **Problem Statement: Problem Statement: Problem Statement:** Predominantly, componentized development in IT Hostility and internal competition between developers. Too many Project Managers and Analysts Lack of cross-functional developers Too much emphasis on individual performance Lack of cross-functional developers Many independent Scrum teams sprinting ad-hoc Strongly pronounced functional (siloed) organizational Multiple development sites, with local management Fake portfolio management, across fake projects design, with independent departments continuously Lack of customer voice (too many competing priorities) Lack of test automation, no CI/CD involved in an internal Contract Game Proposed Solution and Approach (usually standard): Experiment with LeSS framework for a limited number of fully dedicated people (including business, technology, HR, Operations, PMO and senior leadership) Organizational assessment and informed consent from senior leadership. Identification of organizational niche (on IT side), consisting of 50-60 multi-skilled developers + biz. partners 2-3 months of preparation (role identification, simplifications of reporting structure, creation of fully collocated on-site teams, backlog creation, re-design of business structure in support of product owners) + and organizational flip 3-day training for technology and business partners, followed by continued coaching (6-9 months). Gradual disengagement, followed by periodic short-phase reassessments **Outcomes: Outcomes:** Reorienting development from Components to Features Putting more stress on team performance and (reducing Local Optimization in backlogs) collaborative ownership Introducing Communities of Practice and Mentorship for Revisiting job families and creating roles that offer a

## **Outcomes:**

- Reduction in non-hands-on roles and increase of Tshaped people (through hiring and cross-training)
- Closing down a few remote sites and consolidating development teams under the same roof
- Streamlining and fine-tuning flow of business demands/needs via effectively structured Product Ownership team (including SMEs and stakeholders)
- Shortening cycle time of product development and extending Definition of Done to production-ready code
- developers → cross-training → becoming T-shaped
- Synchronizing Teams on cadence and implementing one Scrum, done by many teams - concurrently
- Dramatically reducing Portfolio Management overhead by broadening product definition
- Introducing Jenkins, Bitbucket and Fitnesse

- career path for developers that want to grow organizationally, yet retain hands-on skils
- Dissolving boundaries of functional departments (Dev. QA, BA) and restructuring functional groups into crossfunctional teams
- Moving groups from Contracts to true Collaboration